From:	<u>G</u> <u>Wolgemuth</u>
То:	White Rock Council
Cc:	Jim Gordon; Guillermo Ferrero; Candice Gartry; Clerk"s Office; Tracey Arthur
Subject:	Solid Waste Collection Abandonment-For next Question Period
Date:	March 3, 2023 10:43:48 AM
Date:	March 3, 2023 10:43:48 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Council

One again you have unanimously abandoned your fiduciary duty to the Multi-Family Home Owners by refusing to provide them with an essential service while at the same time coming back with a 9.35% property tax increase. Good one two punch.

The 2014 Decision to abandon 12,000 residents was made at that Council's very first meeting after inauguration which was a 4 hour in camera meeting. It has the appearance that again this was the case for this 2022 Council and it was just formality to unanimously again exclude the 12,000 residents in an open council setting.

I find it disturbing that there were no actual figures presented. There was zero evidence presented to support the oral comments by staff and council? No break down of costs and no cost benefits . Nothing. Basically just general hearsay.

Well of course the predatory pricing for Solid Waste Services is to continue with some stratas paying double triple what they should. Surrey was at least able to provide the service for recyclables and organics at a very reasonable cost. They did not abandon their residents. They stuck by them.

Single Families received on average a much higher benefit than MFRs did from the one time puny Property Tax reduction in 2015 which has since become even more inadequate . The City should have given special Property Tax reductions to every MF taxpayer every year since then.

Let us be clear regarding Solid Waste Pickup Services this was all out abandonment of Multi Family Home Owners by the City not privatization!

I wonder how many Contractors refused to bid on the Request for Proposals sent out because the demands set herein?

Demanding the contractors have CNG fueled trucks when the City It has none itself. Wanting LIPU for single family only when the City currently has none. This would certainly raise the Contract amounts submitted to artificially higher numbers.

Wanting all trucks to have automated tipping arms for totes when the City itself has none even on the five brand new trucks?

On the subject of the Five new trucks ,I noticed almost \$1,000,000 was appropriated from general operating reserves which translates into Multi-Family Home Owners subsidizing the Solid Waste pickups Single Family Home Owners while MFHOs received zero benefit languishing in their abandonment and exclusion!

Regards G Wolgemuth