

From: [Clerk's Office](#)
To: [Debbie Johnstone](#)
Subject: FW: Email from Anita Nielsen to Council | Elm Street Inquiry
Date: June 1, 2021 12:54:19 PM

From: anita nielsen <anita_diane_nielsen@yahoo.ca>
Sent: May 31, 2021 7:22 PM
To: Christopher Trevelyan <CTrevelyan@whiterockcity.ca>; Anthony Manning <AManning@whiterockcity.ca>; David Chesney <DChesney@whiterockcity.ca>; Helen Fathers <HFathers@whiterockcity.ca>; Scott Kristjanson <SKristjanson@whiterockcity.ca>; Erika Johanson <EJohanson@whiterockcity.ca>; Darryl Walker <DWalker@whiterockcity.ca>
Subject: amendment to OCP and 1164 Elm Street

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mayor and Council,

I watched the live stream discussion of the Land Use and Planning meeting this evening and with respect to the discussion around Elm Street, there appeared to be much confusion.

Was the motion to keep Elm Street in Waterfront Village or to move it to Mature Neighbourhood with the form and function consideration? That was not clarified.

It is important that everyone understands that what ever the designation, the permitted three storeys, when combined with a below grade parking garage, **will amount to a four story building.**

It will be a full story higher than the current development on the west side of Elm Street. The developers have confirmed this to be the case, and are planning for 13-14 units, much bigger than a triplex.

Views on Beachview or on Everall above Buena Vista will not be preserved, nor will the elm trees if they are permitted to build 3 storeys plus parking.

I suggest that the height must be limited to 3 stories of liveable space, or two stories with parking garage.

Thank you,

Anita Nielsen