

APPENDIX C

Five Corners Intersection Improvement Project Targeted Engagement Nov/Dec 2025

Summary of Feedback: Across engagement, there was general support for the intent of the project, particularly measures aimed at improving traffic calming, pedestrian safety, and intersection clarity. Participants recognized existing challenges related to vehicle speeds, near misses, and confusing movements through the Five Corners intersection, and acknowledged the need for improvements.

Traffic calming and safety: There was consistent interest in design-based traffic calming measures that would encourage slower vehicle speeds and improve driver awareness. Participants supported features that reinforce safer behaviour through intersection design and streetscape cues. Some stakeholders offered suggestions for complementary or alternative measures—such as flashing stop controls or targeted speed reduction features—reflecting a shared goal of achieving effective safety outcomes while carefully considering scale and implementation.

Public realm enhancements and social considerations: Public realm improvements were viewed as an opportunity to enhance the overall functionality, comfort, and appearance of the area. Some participants saw value in improving lighting, streetscape quality, and overall functionality of the space, others expressed concern that additional seating, planters, or gathering areas could unintentionally encourage loitering, after-hours activity, garbage, or vagrancy. A recurring theme was the importance of ensuring that public realm enhancements do not create unintended social or operational impacts for nearby businesses and residents.

Business access and construction impacts: Maintaining vehicular access and visibility for businesses was identified as a key priority. Participants emphasized that continued road access during construction would be critical to minimizing disruption. There was also sensitivity to how construction staging, temporary parking restrictions, and duration of works could affect day-to-day operations.

Cost, value, and design trade-offs: Some participants questioned the cost-effectiveness of certain design elements, particularly raised roadway treatments, and expressed interest in understanding the trade-offs between higher-cost design solutions and more modest traffic calming measures. Feedback reflected a desire for solutions that clearly demonstrate value while addressing the primary safety concerns.

Lighting, signage, and clarity: Improved lighting and clearer signage were viewed positively and identified as opportunities to enhance both safety and comfort. Participants noted existing issues with darkness in the area and signage that can be confusing, particularly with respect to one-way movements and parking.